Magnesium selenites

M. EBERT and D. HAVLÍČEK

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Charles University, 128 40 Prague

Received 6 September 1979

The solubility diagram in the MgSeO₃-SeO₂-H₂O system at 298 K was studied and on this basis the magnesium hydrogenselenite tetrahydrate prepared. Selenites MgSeO₃ · 6H₂O $Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ was and $Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ were studied by thermal analysis, X-ray powder analysis, and infrared spectroscopy. Magnesium diselenite MgSe,O, was prepared on the basis of the thermal decomposition of Mg(HSeO₃)₂ \cdot 4H₂O; this substance was studied in the same manner as the other selenites. The infrared spectra yielded the force constants for the bonds between selenium and oxygen: 498 N m^{-1} for MgSeO₃ \cdot 6H₂O, 532 N m⁻¹ for the SeO₂ group and 333 N m⁻¹ for the SeOH group for Mg(HSeO₃)₂ · 4H₂O and for MgSe₂O₅, 541 N m⁻¹ for the SeO₂ group and 266 N m⁻¹ for the selenium-oxygen-selenium bridge bonds. Analysis of the spectra yielded values for the energy of the hydrogen bonds in selenites in the range 20-39 kJ mol⁻¹ and hydrogen bond lengths in the range 269-289 pm.

Была изучена диаграмма растворимости при 298 в системе $MgSeO_3$ — SeO_2 — H_2O и на ее основании был впервые приготовлен $Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$. Селениты $MgSeO_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ и $Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ были изучены при помощи термического анализа, рентгенографии в порошках и инфракрасной спектроскопии. На основании термического разложения $Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ был приготовлен селенит магния состава $MgSe_2O_5$, который был изучен таким же способом, как и остальные селениты. По ИК спектрам были определены силовые константы связей Se—O: 498 H м⁻¹ для $MgSeO_3 \cdot 6H_2O$, 532 H м⁻¹ в группе SeO_2 и 333 H м⁻¹ в группе SeO_4 и 266 H м⁻¹ в мостиковых связях Se—O—Se для $MgSe_2O_5$. Энергии водородных связей в селенитах были по спектрам найдены в интервале 20—-39 кДж моль⁻¹ и длины связей порядка 269—289 пм.

The study of selenites has recently received increased attention because of the ferroelectric properties which have been observed for these substances. Thus we also began a systematic study of selenites; this work will deal with magnesium selenites.

The preparation of the hexahydrate of magnesium selenite consists of precipitation of a magnesium chloride solution with a sodium selenite solution as described by Nilson [1]. The thermodynamic properties $(\Delta H_{298}^0, \Delta G_{298}^0, \Delta S_{298}^0)$ for the formation of MgSeO₃ · 6H₂O were found by Leshchinskaya [2] on the basis of calorimetric measurements; Chukhlantsev [3] found the solubility product $(1.29 \times$ 10⁻⁵). Leshchinskaya [2] studied the thermal decomposition and at 473 K obtained the anhydrous amorphous selenite MgSeO₃, which yielded crystalline anhydrous MgSeO₃ after boiling for 2 h in water and drying for 12 h at 463-473 K in the air. The crystal structure of MgSeO₃ \cdot 6H₂O was found by Weiss [4]. Paetzold [5-7] systematically measured the infrared spectra of the selenites and, from the spectra of alkali selenites, determined the approximate constitution and symmetry of selenite, hydrogenselenite, and diselenite ions and calculated the force constants of the selenium—oxygen bonds. For the SeO_3^{2-} anion he proposed the symmetry point group $C_{3\nu}$ and for the HSeO₃ anion the point group C_s with a hydroxyl group bonded to the SeO₂ group; for the Se₂O₅²⁻ anion he proposed a point group of symmetry $C_{2\nu}$ with two SeO₂ groups joined by an oxygen bridge. Rocchiccioli [8] measured the infrared spectrum of $MgSeO_3 \cdot 6H_2O$.

Salts with magnesium to selenium ratios other than 1:1 have been studied much less. The first mention of a substance corresponding to the formula MgSe₂O₅ appeared in the work by *Berzelius* [9]; neither the preparation nor the analysis is, however, described.

It is further mentioned that MgSeO₃ is soluble in selenous acid to give a salt which can be precipitated by ethanol from the solution [10]. Again neither the composition nor the analysis is described. Somewhat more concrete data on hydrogenselenites or diselenites are given in the works by *Nilson* [1] and by *Boutzoureano* [11], which describe the preparation of substances in aqueous solution at laboratory temperature with compositions corresponding to the formulae Mg(HSeO₃)₂ · 3H₂O and MgH₄(SeO₃)₃. The preparation of anhydrous diselenite MgSe₂O₅ in a sealed tube at 473 K is also described. All these works were carried out in the last century and since then no one has studied magnesium hydrogenselenites and diselenites.

This work was carried out in order to prepare all the selenites in the $MgSeO_3$ —SeO₂—H₂O system at 298 K and to study their bonding conditions.

Experimental

Chemicals and methods

Magnesium selenite $MgSeO_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ was prepared by precipitating a 0.2 M aqueous solution of sodium selenite (Lachema, Brno; anal. grade) with a 0.2 M aqueous solution of magnesium(II) perchlorate (Xenon, Lódź; anal. grade). The deuterated derivative

 $MgSeO_3 \cdot 6D_2O$ for infrared spectroscopy was prepared from anhydrous, amorphous $MgSeO_3$ by shaking for several hours with deuterium oxide. The deuterated derivative $Mg(DSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4D_2O$ for infrared spectroscopy was prepared from $MgSeO_3 \cdot 6D_2O$, SeO_2 , and D_2O according to the solubility diagram. Selenium dioxide was the product of Lachema, Brno (anal. grade).

The initial substances and final products were analyzed gravimetrically. Selenium was determined by an adjusted method according to *Bode* [12]: selenite was reduced to grey selenium in a 6 M-HCl medium at the boiling point with sulfur dioxide. After 12 h the mixture was filtered on an S3 frit, washed with water and acetone, dried for 3 h at 333 K and weighed. Magnesium was determined as $Mg_2P_2O_7$ [13]. Titrimetric analysis was used to determine the solubility. Selenium(IV) was determined iodometrically by the method described by *Ganitskii* [14]; magnesium was titrated complexometrically using Eriochrome Black in a Schwarzenbach buffer medium [15].

Thermal decomposition with increasing temperature was studied on a Derivatograph instrument (MOM, Budapest) over the range 293—873 K with a temperature program of 5 K min⁻¹. X-ray powder diffraction patterns were measured on an instrument from Chirana, Czechoslovakia, using cells from Siemens or Chirana with a diameter of 57.3 mm. The sample was placed on a rod or, with anhydrous substances, in a Lindenmann glass capillary with a diameter of 0.3—0.5 mm. The average exposure time was 45 min at a voltage of 35 kV and a current of 20 mA. The diffractograms were measured on a Diffractograph from Chirana, Czechoslovakia, at a voltage of 35 kV and a current of 15 mA.

The infrared spectra were obtained on a UR-20 instrument (Zeiss, Jena) in the range $400-4000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The spectra were measured in a nujol mull using potassium bromide cells. The region of stretching vibrations of the hydroxyl group was also measured in a tripene mull.

Study of solubility in the MgSeO₃—SeO₂—H₂O system at 298 K

The solubility in the $MgSeO_3$ —SeO₂—H₂O system was studied using the method of determining solubility in ternary systems based on the Schreinemakers method. The time required for equilibration differed for individual samples of the system. If a solid phase remained after mixing the initial components of the system, then the time required for attainment of equilibrium was not greater than several days. If, however, a supersaturated solution was formed, from which a solid phase slowly crystallized out, then the equilibrium formation lasted up to several weeks.

Fig. 1 depicts the solubility diagram obtained according to Gibbs—Roozeboom. It follows from the diagram that the incongruently soluble compound Mg(HSeO₃)₂ · 4H₂O is formed in crystallization field *III* and that crystallization fields *I* and *V* correspond to the original substances. Equilibrium between two solid phases and the solution corresponding to point *P* or *E* corresponds to fields *II* and *IV*. Peritonic point *P* corresponds to composition 17.6% MgSeO₃, 13.4% SeO₂, and 69.0% H₂O and eutonic point *E* to composition 13.5% MgSeO₃, 56.5% SeO₂, and 30.0% H₂O. In field *II* at a composition of the liquid phase corresponding to point *P* the phase reaction leading to formation of hydrogenselenite can be described

$$MgSeO_3 + SeO_2 + 5H_2O \rightleftharpoons Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$$



Fig. 1. Solubility diagram of the MgSeO₃-SeO₂-H₂O system.

On the basis of this solubility diagram the magnesium hydrogenselenite tetrahydrate was isolated. The material was collected on an S3 frit, rinsed with ethanol and ether and dried in the air at laboratory temperature. The results of gravimetric analysis corresponded well to the calculated data (found : 6.92% Mg and 44.1% Se; calculated 6.89% Mg and 44.7% Se). The isolated substance is fine, crystalline, and colourless and is stable in the air at laboratory temperature.

Thermal analysis, X-ray powder analysis, and molecular infrared spectroscopy

The results of thermal decomposition of $MgSeO_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ are given in Table 1. The initial substances and products of thermal decomposition were studied by X-ray diffraction. The hexahydrate of magnesium selenite yields the X-ray powder diffraction pattern given in Table 8. Heating of this substance yields anhydrous amorphous MgSeO₃ which, at higher temperatures (Table 1), crystallizes to anhydrous crystalline MgSeO₃ and yields the X-ray powder diffraction pattern given in Table 8.

The results of thermal decomposition of $Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ are given in Table 2. The initial substances and products of thermal decomposition were studied by X-ray diffraction. The magnesium hydrogenselenite tetrahydrate yields the X-ray powder diffraction pattern given in Table 8. Heating yields $MgSe_2O_5$ (Table 2), which yields the X-ray powder diffraction pattern given in Table 8. Further heating converts the diselenite to anhydrous

Table 1

Thermal	decomposi	tion of	MoSeO.	6H.O
rnorman	uccomposi	uon or	INIEUCU3	01120

T/K	GTA	DTA	Assignment
328—388	Decrease	endo	Dehydration
468 and higher	-42.9% delayed	_	MgSeO ₃ anhydrous, amorphous
673—693		exo	Recrystallization to MgSeO ₃ anhydrous, crystalline

Τ	at	le	2

Thermal decomposition of $Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$

T/K	GTA	DTA	Assignment
338-378	Combined decrease	Doubled endo	Dehydration
423623	-25.0% delayed	-	MgSe ₂ O ₅
583603	_	exo (weak)	?
673—723	Decrease	endo	Loss of SeO ₂
733 and higher	– 58.8% delayed	—	MgSeO ₃ anhydrous, crystalline

T-	L1-	2
18	пе	. 7

	Infrared spectrum of MgSeO ₃ \cdot 6H ₂ O and of MgSeO ₃ \cdot 6D ₂ O							
MgSeO ₁ · 6H ₂ O		MgSeO ₃ · 6D₂O	\tilde{v} MgSeO ₃ · 6H ₂ O	Animunt				
	$\bar{\nu}/\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$	\bar{v}/cm^{-1}	\tilde{v} MgSeO ₃ · 6D ₂ O	Assignment				
	420 m	410 m	1.02					
	462 m	450 m	1.02	$\delta(\text{SeO}_3)$				
	520 m	545 m	0.95					
	683 sh	_	_					
	745 s	740 s	1.01	$v_{s}(SeO_{3})$				
	810 m	813 m	1.00)	·· (6-0)				
	860 w	860 w	1.00)	$V_{as}(3eO_3)$				
	930 m	-		?				
	1685 m	1230 m	1.37	$\delta(OH)(H_2O)$				
	2360 mb		—	?				
	3055 sb	2290 sb	1.33)	··(OU)(U O)				
	3435 sb	2555 sb	1.34	$V(OH)(H_2O)$				

s — strong, m — medium, w — weak, sh — shoulder, b — broad; δ — bending, v_s — symmetric stretching, v_{ss} — antisymmetric stretching.

Table 4

Mg(HSeO ₃) ₂ · 4H ₂ O	$Mg(DSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4D_2O$	\tilde{v} Mg(HSeO ₃) ₂ · 4H ₂ O	Assignment	
\bar{v}/cm^{-1}	\bar{v}/cm^{-1}	$\frac{3}{2}$ Mg(DSeO ₃) ₂ · 4D ₂ O		
482 m	482 m	1.00)	S(C-Q-)	
532 sh	562 m	0.94	$o(SeO_2)$	
635 sh	(17	0.98)		
668 m	647 m	1.03	v(SeO)(SeOH)	
825 s	813 s	1.01	$v_{as}(SeO_2)$	
865 s	860 s	1.01	$v_{s}(SeO_{2})$	
950 vw	727 w	1.31	$\gamma(OH)(SeOH)$	
1185 w	880 sh	1.35	δ (OH)(SeOH)	
1685 w	1227 w	1.37	$\delta(OH)(H_2O)$	
2390 wb	2168 mb	1.10)		
2950 sh	2325 sh	1.27	V(OH)(SeOH)	
3185 sb	2365 sb	1.33)		
3370 sb	2530 sb	1.33	V(UH)(H₂U)	

Infrared spectrum of $Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ and of $Mg(DSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4D_2O$

vw — very weak; γ — bending out-of-plane (torsion).

Table 5

Assignment				
$\delta({ m SeO_2})$				
v(Se—O—Se)				
$v_{as}(SeO_2)$				
$v_{s}(SeO_{2})$				

Infrared spectrum of MgSe₂O₅

crystalline MgSeO₃, which yields an X-ray powder diffraction pattern identical to that of crystalline MgSeO₃ obtained from decomposition of MgSeO₃ · $6H_2O$. The identity of these substances was also confirmed diffractographically. The infrared spectra of the substances MgSeO₃ · $6H_2O$, Mg(HSeO₃)₂ · $4H_2O$, MgSe₂O₅ and their deuterated derivatives are given in Tables 3—5. Band assignment in the spectra was carried out according to the works of *Paetzold* [5—7].

Discussion

The MgSeO₃—SeO₂—H₂O system at 298 K was found to contain a not yet described selenite with composition Mg:Se:H₂O = 1:2:5. Study of similar systems with alkali metals (M^I) revealed the presence of hydrogenselenites with composition M^I:Se 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, and 5:4; no hydrogen salt was found for barium, only anhydrous barium diselenite. The substance obtained here can be formulated as magnesium hydrogenselenite tetrahydrate or as magnesium diselenite pentahydrate. Considering the presence of the bands of vibrations v(OH) (SeOH) and $\delta(OH)$ (SeOH) in the infrared spectrum (Table 4), it can be regarded as unambiguously demonstrated that hydrogenselenite is present.

It follows from the thermal analysis of $MgSeO_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ and from the X-ray powder diffraction patterns that anhydrous selenite formed at 328—388 K, is amorphous up to 673 K and at 673—693 K crystallizes to anhydrous crystalline $MgSeO_3$. Thermal decomposition of hydrogenselenite at 338—378 K yields magnesium diselenite $MgSe_2O_5$, which is converted into anhydrous crystalline $MgSeO_3$ at 673—723 K. The double endothermic effect on the DTA curve at 338—358 and 368—378 K indicates the presence of a dehydration intermediate, which could be anhydrous $Mg(HSeO_3)_2$ or a lower hydrate.

We also studied the bonding conditions in these compounds. Table 6 lists the force constants of the Se—O bonds for the studied selenites, found from the infrared spectra. The force constants were calculated from the relationship

$$k_{\rm SeO} = \bar{\lambda}\mu$$

If $4\pi^2 \tilde{v}^2 c^2 = \lambda$, then the *Lehmann* expression [16] can be employed for averaging the wavenumbers

$$\bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{x} \left[\lambda_{\rm s} + \lambda_{\rm as}(x-1) \right]$$

where μ is the reduced mass of the selenium—oxygen pair of atoms, \bar{v} is the wavenumber of the stretching vibration of the selenium—oxygen bond, c is the velocity of light, and x is the number of oxygen atoms in the SeO_x group.

Substance	Group	$\bar{v}_{\rm s}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$	\tilde{v}_{as}/cm^{-1}	$k_{s=0}/N m^{-1}$	
MgSeO ₃ · 6H ₂ O	SeO ₃	714	835	498	
$Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$	SeO ₂	865	780	532	
	SeOH	652	_	333	
MgSe ₂ O ₅	SeO ₂	870	790	541	
	Se—O—Se	577		266	

 Table 6

 Force constants for the selenium_oxygen bonds

Table 7

Energy and I	length of hy	drogen bonds
--------------	--------------	--------------

Substance	$E/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$ bond	O O Distance/pm 286	
MgSeO ₃ · 6H ₂ O	- 20 °		
	39ª	270	
Mg(HSeO ₃) ₂ · 4H ₂ O	25	281	
	38	269	

a) Calculated from the Yukhnevich model; corresponds to energies E_1 and E_2 .

Table 8

X-ray powder diffraction patterns of magnesium selenites

MgSeC	0₃ · 6H₂O	Mg(HSeC	O ₃)₂ · 4H₂O	MgSeO ₃ crys	anhydrous, talline	MgS	Se ₂ O ₅
I	d	I	d	Ι	d	I	d
3	590	10	660	1	850	2	415
10	450	10	610	1	590	10	340
2	410	3	540	1	500	7	307
10	390	3	520	1	440	9	283
3	360	3	460	5	420	1	279
10	299	3	430	6	410	1	265
2	294	1	410	5	380	1	257
10	283	4	390	8	370	1	225
2	277	1	380	5	344	4	215
2	262	3	370	1	330	1	206
10	248	2	361	3	312	5	197
2	245	9	342	1	303	4	189
2	227	9	332	2	294	4	181
3	218	1	323	10	286	8	170
3	212	3	316	10	279	4	161.5
10	196	2	305	1	260	1	155.5
2	194	2	294	3	250	3	147.9
9	180	2	281	3	245	2	145.4
2	177	8	269	1	232	2	141.9
7	172	1	259	1	228	2	132.7
2	170	1	250	2	221	1	130.5
2	166	5	248	2	214	4	128.3
2	156	1	239	3	208	1	123.3
1	155	3	230	1	197	1	121.1
						1	118.2

	rous, crystalline	MgSeO ₃ anhydrous, crystalline		$Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$		$MgSeO_3 \cdot 6H_2O$	
	d	I	d	I	d	I	
-A. 5.10.00	194	2	225	3	149.2	3	
	185	2	221	3	142.6	1	
	182	1	211	2	141.5	3	
	177	6	207	2	140.0	2	
	174	4	201	3			
	172	1	196	2			
	168	1	191	1			
	165.2	1	190	2			
	160.0	1	185	1			
	155.1	2	180	7			
	151.4	4	177	4			
	145.4	1	167.5	1			
	143.0	1	163.5	5			
	134.4	1	159.0	2			
	130.8	1	145.8	1			
	128.6	1	130.5	1			
			120.4	1			
			117.9	1			

Table 8 (Continued)

I — intensity 1—10, found visually; d — interplanar distance in pm.

It follows from the table of force constants that the force constants in the SeO_2 groups in the hydrogenselenite and diselenite anions are somewhat higher than in the SeO_3 group and, on the other hand, the force constants in the SeOH and Se—O—Se groups are much lower than for the SeO₃ group. According to Paetzold's data, the situation is analogous for alkali selenites.

Table 7 lists the energies and lengths of the hydrogen bonds in the studied selenites obtained from the infrared spectra. The energy of the hydrogen bonds was calculated for $MgSeO_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ from the relationship given by Yukhnevich and Karyakin [17] for coupled oscillators

$$E_{1} = \frac{3780 - (v_{\pi} + \delta v_{\pi})}{62} - e^{-\frac{3860 - (v_{\pi} + \delta v_{\pi})}{120}}$$
$$E_{2} = E_{1} + 0.014\Delta v - \frac{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}{(\Delta v)^{2}}$$
where $\delta v_{\pi} = 45 \left(1 - \frac{10^{4}}{(\Delta v)^{2}}\right);$

- v_{π} = the value of the highest wavenumber of the OH stretching vibration region,
- Δv = the difference between the wavenumbers of the absorption bands corresponding to vibrations of the hydroxyl group,
- E_1 = the energy of the hydrogen bond between the water molecules,
- E_2 = the energy of the hydrogen bonds between the water molecules and the anion.

The Yukhnevich model could not be used for $Mg(HSeO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$. Thus the simple relationship [18]

$$E = \frac{\mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{v}}{0.017 \mathbf{v}_0}$$

was used, where 3750 is substituted for v_0 , which is the wavenumber of the stretching vibration of the hydroxyl group in the free H₂O molecule, and v is the wavenumber of the stretching vibration of the hydroxyl group in the hydrate.

The constants in all the formulae are calculated so that the result is in kcal mol⁻¹ of bond. The lengths of the hydrogen bonds were calculated from the correlation diagrams $v_{OH} - R_{O...O}$ of Naberukhin [19], Pirrene [20], Novak [21], Ratajczak [22], and Nakamoto [23]. For MgSeO₃ · 6H₂O the spectral data can be compared with the structural data. It was found by X-ray structural analysis [4] that there are two hydrogen bonds with lengths of 291 and 270 pm, corresponding to our data. On the basis of the energy of the hydrogen bonds, the SeO₃²⁻ and HSeO₃⁻ anions can be classified among positively hydrated anions in which the hydrogen bond between the water molecules and the anion is stronger than that between the water molecules [17]. The hydrogen bonds in the studied selenites can be classified among weak and medium strong.

References

- 1. Nilson, L. F., Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 21, 253 (1874).
- 2. Leshchinskaya, Z. L. and Selivanova, N. M., Zh. Neorg. Khim. 11, 260 (1966).
- 3. Chukhlantsev, V. G., Zh. Neorg. Khim. 1, 2300 (1956).
- 4. Weiss, R., Acta Crystallogr. 20, 533 (1966).
- 5. Simon, A. and Paetzold, R., Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 303, 39 (1960).
- 6. Paetzold, R. and Simon, A., Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 301, 246 (1959).
- 7. Simon, A. and Paetzold, R., Z. Elektrochem., Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 64, 209 (1960).
- 8. Rocchiccioli, C., C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) 247, 1108 (1958).
- 9. Berzelius, J. J., Ann. Mines 4, 301 (1819).
- 10. Muspratt, J. S., J. Chem. Soc. 2, 52 (1849).
- 11. Boutzoureano, B., Ann. Chim. Phys. 18, 309 (1889).
- 12. Bode, H., Z. Anal. Chem. 153, 335 (1956).
- 13. Tomíček, O., Kvantitativní analysa. (Quantitative Analysis.) Státní zdravotnické nakladatelství. (State Publishing House of Public Health.) Prague, 1954.

- 14. Ganitskii, M. Zh. and Zhelinokraite, V. I., Zh. Neorg. Khim. 2, 1341 (1957).
- Přibil, R., Komplexony v chemické analyse. (Complexones in Chemical Analysis.) Nakladatelství ČSAV. (Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences.) Prague, 1957.
- 16. Lehmann, W. J., J. Mol. Spectrosc. 7, 261 (1961).
- 17. Yukhnevich, G. V. and Karyakin, A. V., Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 156, 681 (1964).
- 18. Sokolov, N. D., Usp. Fiz. Nauk 57, 205 (1955).
- 19. Efimov, Yu. Ya. and Naberukhin, Yu. I., Zh. Strukt. Khim. 12, 591 (1971).
- 20. Pirrene, J., Physica (Utrecht) 21, 971 (1955).
- 21. Novak, A., Structure and Bonding 18, 177 (1974).
- 22. Ratajczak, H. and Orville-Thomas, W. J., J. Mol. Struct. 1, 449 (1967-1968).
- 23. Nakamoto, K., Margoshes, M., and Rundle, R. E., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 77, 6480 (1955).

Translated by M. Štulíková