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#### Abstract

The cycloaddition of arylnitrile oxides to dimethyl $7-\left(\mathbf{R}^{1}, \mathbf{R}^{2}\right.$-methylene)bicyclo[2,2,1]hept-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylates proceeds under the formation of bisadducts and retroproducts. The other expected retroproducts were not formed. Semiempirical quantum-mechanical methods AM1 were used to rationalize this formation of bisadducts. The results are confronted with the siteselectivity of 7-oxabicyclo[2,2,1]hept-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate and dimethyl derivative of the title compound.


Recently we have found that the selectivity of the condensed isoxazolines possessing a methylene bridge to enamino aldehydes [1] is due to a stabilization of the biradical by the overlap of the radical electron with $\pi$-electrons of the bridge $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond. With our effort to investigate the influence of substituent in 7-methylene position on the photorearrangement we have paid our attention to the preparation of dimethyl 7-( $\mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}$-methylene)-bicyclo[2,2,1]hept-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylates $/$ and their behaviour in the cycloaddition with nitrile oxides. In this paper, we describe in detail the site- and stereoselectivity of the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of methylenebicyclo derivatives / with arylnitrile oxides, together with AM1 calculations.
The dimethyl 7 -( $\mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}$-methylene) bicyclo[ $\left.2,2,1\right]$ hept-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylates $l a, l b$ were prepared from the corresponding fulvenes and dimethyl ester of acetylenedicarboxylic acid. Although, the Diels-Alder reactions of fulvenes are known [2, 3] and some 7 substituted bicyclo[2,2,1]hept-2,5-dienes are precursors for the preparation of $\beta$-substituted fulvenes [4, 5], the synthesis of $l a, l b$ has not been published yet.
1,3-Dipolar cycloaddition of 4-chlorobenzenenitrile oxide with la gave bisadduct $X(21 \%)$ as well as dimethyl 6,6-diphenylfulvene-2,3-dicarboxylate ( $V$, $21 \%$ ) and 3-(4-chlorophenyl)isoxazole (V/a, $15 \%$ ), both originating from the cycloaddition product IV. The cycloaddition of benzenenitrile oxide to la proceeds fully analogously giving bisadduct XI (18 \%), 3-phenylisoxazole (VIb, $18 \%$ ), and fulvene $V(21 \%)$.
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a $\quad \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}$



For compounds IV, V, VII, VIII


For compounds $1-X I I E=\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$

The products VIII and $I X$, originating from the cycloaddition product VII have not been detected in the crude reaction mixture by NMR spectroscopy.


In our previous work [6] we have found that benzenenitrile oxide reacted with dimethyl 7 -iso-propylidenebicyclo[2,2,1]hept-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (I, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) under formation of primary cycloadducts of the type $I V$, which underwent subsequent aromatization to 3-phenylisoxazole (VIb). Cristina et al. [7] found the ratio of products V/a to IXa $x_{r}=90: 10$ in favour of VIa in the case of the cycloaddition to norbornadiene III ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). Nitrile oxide cycloadditions to 7 -oxabicyclo[2,2,1]hept-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (II) showed similar siteselectivity [7, 8]. Benzenenitrile oxides attack preferentially electron-deficient double bond of $/ I$ and the intermediate cycloadducts IVc and VIIc undergo the Diels-Alder cycloreversion to form the derivatives Vc, VI, VIIIc, and IX. Although all aforementioned cycloadditions [6-8] were carried out in a mole ratio, formation of any bisadduct has not been observed.
The assignment of the structure of $X$ to the bisadduct possessing exo-syn-exo configuration was made on the basis of spectroscopic data, in particular using NOE experiments. The prefixes exo showed a relationship between isoxazoline moiety and methylene bridge; the second prefix syn a relationship between two isoxazoline oxygen atoms. The El mass spectrum of $X$ showed molecular ion peak at $m / z=$ 680 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum the presence of singlets at $\delta=97.45$ and 71.53 , for $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-6$ carbon atoms, which revealed the 1,3 -dipolar cycloaddition to both double bonds of la. NMR spectrum of $X$ is uniquely diagnostic for the exo-syn-exo arrangement, e.g. irradiation of H-8 caused NOE's for H-7 (5.3 \%), $\mathrm{H}-12$ (15.6 \%), and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ at $\mathrm{C}-6$ position. Irradiation of $\mathrm{H}-12$ results in signal enhancement of $\mathrm{H}-1$ (6.8 \%). The assignment of the structure of $X I$ to exo-synexo was elucidated analogously.
By the cycloaddition of BNO to dimethyl 7-(3-thienylmethylene)bicyclo[2,2,1]hept-2,5-diene-2,3dicarboxylate (Ib) the bisadduct $X I I$ has been obtained possessing the same exo-syn-exo configuration. The anti assignment between isoxazoline oxygens and thiophene ring was made on the basis of the SINEPT-DQ method, mainly owing to that the irradiation of $\mathrm{H}-7$ caused the change of the following interaction constants $J_{\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{C}-8}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{C}-6}=$ 1.4 Hz , and $J_{\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{C}-2}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

In order to rationalize the above cycloadditions, we have carried out quantum-mechanical calculations. Inspection of energy levels of benzenenitrile oxide
(BNO) as well as /I and 7-methylenebicyclo[2,2,1]-hept-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (III, X $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) calculated by the AM1 method [9] shows that the cycloaddition is governed by the $\mathrm{LUMO}_{\text {DIPOLE }}\left(\mathrm{HOMO}_{\mid / I}=\right.$ $-10.03 \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{HOMO}_{\|}=-10.42 \mathrm{eV}$, and $\mathrm{LUMO}_{\mathrm{BNO}}=$ -0.51 eV ). The HOMO orbitals of $I I$ and $I I$ are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. In both cases the orbital is localized on both double bonds, therefore the 1,3-dipole


Fig. 1. HOMO II.


Fig. 2. HOMO III.
can attack unsubstituted as well as deactivated double bond in II and III. In the HOMO of both dipolarophiles the carbons of unsubstituted double bond have large orbital coefficients ( 0.53 vs .0 .31 for III; 0.57 vs. 0.34 for II). In contrast to oxygen in II, the HOMO in III is localized also at the methylene bridge (Fig. 1), since the exocyclic double bond mixes its $\pi$ orbitals with both substituted and unsubstituted double bond in III. In addition, this overlap in / can favour the exclusive exo attack of the dipole related to the methylene bridge through the secondary orbital interactions between LUMO DIPOLE and $\mathrm{HOMO}_{\text {, }}$.
We tried to elucidate the unexpected formation of bisadducts through the relative thermodynamic stability of the possible products IV-IX, mainly from the stability of primarily formed cycloadducts $I V$ and VII to the Diels-Alder cycloreversion. The geometries of all compounds IV-IX were fully optimized by the AM1 method. The thermodynamic stabilities of the components in the Diels-Alder cycloreversion are listed below.

## Relative Stabilities/(kJ mol ${ }^{-1}$ ) of IV—IX Calculated by AM1

| IVb -194.9 | VIb | 286.7 | VIIIb | 261.9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| IVc - 406.8 | VIIb -124.2 | VIIIc | 12.4 |  |
| Vb -415.3 | VIIc | -337.0 | IXb | -83.6 |
| Vc -661.9 |  |  |  |  |

The total energy differences/( $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) of DielsAlder cycloreversions are expressed in the following manner:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
I V b \rightarrow V b+V I b & 66.3 & V I I b \rightarrow V I I I b+I X b & 36.7 \\
I V c \rightarrow V c+V I b & 31.6 & V I I c \rightarrow V I I c+I X b & -0.1
\end{array}
$$

AM1 calculations showed the cycloadducts formed by the attack of the BNO on the double bond substituted with methoxycarbonyl groups VIIb, VIIc to be less stable than the other isomers IVb, IVc. On the other hand, the presence of oxygen atom in the bridge significantly decreases the stability of the products IVc and VIIc in comparison to the products IVb and VIIb possessing a methylene bridge. AM1 calculations of compounds IVb, IVc and VIIb, VIIc reveal that the cycloadduct $I V b$ is more stable than the three other cycloadducts. Thus, greater thermodynamic stability of IVb can explain the formation of bisadducts X-XII. The absence of products VIII and $I X$ suggests that the cycloaddition of nitrile oxides to / proceeds only to the unsubstituted double bond, which is in accord with AM1 calculations of atomic orbital coefficients in III. Thus, AM1 results are in a very good agreement with experimental results.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points are uncorrected, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of deuterochloroform solutions were measured with Varian VXR 300 instrument, tetramethylsilane being the internal reference. Mass spectra were recorded at 70 eV on an AEI MS 902 spectrometer equipped with direct inlet system. All reagents were purified and dried if necessary prior to use. TLC analyses were carried out with Lachema $\mathrm{UV}_{254}$ silica gel plates.
The corresponding fulvenes were prepared according to Refs. [10, 11].

## Dimethyl 7-( $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$-Methylene)bicyclo[2,2,1]hept-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (I)

The substituted fulvene was added to a stirred solution of dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (50 mmol ) in chloroform ( $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for $5-7 \mathrm{~d}$. Chloro-
form was removed from the mixture under reduced pressure, and the remaining crude residue was separated on a silica gel column by using chloroform as the solvent. The obtained product was crystallized from diethyl ether.

Dimethyl 7-(diphenylmethylene)bicyclo[2,2,1]hept-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (la), yield $=44 \%$, m.p. $=$ 144-146 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(M_{\mathrm{r}}=372.40\right) w_{i}$ (calc.): 77.40 \% C, 5.41 \% H; $w_{i}$ (found): 77.65 \% C, 5.36 \% H. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 7.24-7.31$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}$ ), 7.11 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ and $\mathrm{H}-6$ ), $7.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 4.92$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-4$ ), 3.81 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 164.16$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 163.78 ( s , $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 151.21, 142.29, 139.19, 130.01, 129.69, 128.13, 128.09, 127.21, 126.86, 112.91 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {vinyl }}$ ), 54.71 (d, C-1 and $\mathrm{C}-4$ ), 52.19 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

Dimethyl 7-(3-thienylmethylene)bicyclo[2,2,1]hept-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (Ib), yield $=40 \%$, oil. For $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\left(M_{\mathrm{r}}=302.33\right) w_{i}$ (calc.): $63.55 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.66$ $\% \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (found): $63.71 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.87 \% \mathrm{H} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 7.03-7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {thiophene }}, \mathrm{H}-5\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-6\right)$, 5.38 (s, 1H, H-8), 4.75 (m, 1H, H-1), $4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-4), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$.

## 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition - General Procedure

Triethylamine ( 13 mmol ) in ether ( $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of arylhydroximoyl chloride ( 10 mmol ) and the dipolarophile ( 10 mmol ) in ether at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ within 1 h . The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, the separated triethylammonium chloride was filtered off, removed by dissolving in water and organic material was evaporated under diminished pressure, dried, and separated by chromatography on a silica gel column and purified by crystallization.

Cycloaddition of 4-chlorobenzenenitrile oxide to la afforded:

Bisadduct X, yield $=21$ \%, m.p. $=249-252^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(M_{\mathrm{r}}=679.52\right) w_{i}$ (calc.): $67.17 \%$ C, 4.15 \% H, 4.12 \% N; $w_{i}$ (found): 66.98 \% C, 4.24 \% H, 4.32 \% N. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 7.00-7.31$ (m, 18H, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}$ ), 5.84 (d, $J_{8,12}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ), 4.08 (d, 1H, H-8), 3.94 (s, 3H, COOCH 3 -2.), 3.72 ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1), 3.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}-6\right), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-7)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 168.01$ (s, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 167.02 (s, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 141.23, 139.26, 139.15, 136.48, 135.91, 133.63, 128.81, 128.79, 128.64, 128.61, 127.79, 127.77, 127.71, 127.67, 127.26, 126.63, 125.47 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {vinyl }}$ ), 97.45 (s, C-2), 82.04 (d, C-12), 71.53 (s, C-6), 53.52 (d, C-8), 53.07 (d, C-1), 52.89, 51.39 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 49.90 (d, C-7). Mass spectrum, m/z: $680\left(\mathrm{M}^{+\bullet}\right)$.

3-(4-Chlorophenyl)isoxazole (VI), yield = 15 \%, oil, Ref. [12] oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 8.47$ (d, 1H, H5), 7.45-7.70 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}$ ), 6.64 (d, 1H, H-4).

Cycloaddition of benzenenitrile oxide to la afforded:
Bisadduct XI, yield $=18 \%$, m.p. $=248-250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(M_{\mathrm{r}}=609.36\right) w_{i}$ (calc.): $74.74 \% \mathrm{C}$, 4.95 \% H, 4.59 \% N; $w_{i}$ (found): 75.07 \% C, 5.08 \% H, 4.76 \% N. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 6.90-7.42$ (m, $20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}$ ), $5.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{8,12}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12\right), 4.12$ (d, 1H, H-8), 3.95 (s, 3H, COOCH -2 ), 3.76 (s, 1H, $\mathrm{H}-1$ ), 3.63 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}-6$ ), 3.42 (s, 1H, H-7). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 168.62$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 167.62 ( s , $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 141.23, 139.62, 139.13, 137.03, 136.91, 135.34, 133.34, 128.92, 128.90, 128.75, 128.64, 127.77, 127.71, 127.65, 127.31, 126.64, 125.47, $124.89\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\text {vinyi }}\right), 95.51$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 82.22 (d, $\mathrm{C}-12$ ), 71.64 (s, C-6), 53.40 (d, C-8), 53.00 (d, C-1), $52.74,51.71\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-7)$. Mass spectrum, m/z: $610\left(\mathrm{M}^{+*}\right)(35)$.
3-Phenylisoxazole (VI), yield = $18 \%$, visc. oil, Ref. [13] oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 8.45$ (d, 1H, H-5), 7.45-7.81 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}$ ), 6.65 (d, 1H, H-4).

Dimethyl 6,6-diphenylfulvene-2,3-dicarboxylate (V), yield $=21 \%$, m.p. $=164-166{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(M_{\mathrm{r}}=\right.$ 346.36) $w_{i}$ (calc.): $76.68 \% \mathrm{C}, 5.24 \% \mathrm{H} ; w_{i}$ (found): 76.43 \% C, 5.32 \% H. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 7.26$ 7.43 (m, 10H, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}$ ), $6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-4)$ ), 3.85 $\left(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$.

Cycloaddition of benzenenitrile oxide to lb afforded:
Bisadduct XII, yield $=25 \%$, m.p. $=249-252^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\left(M_{\mathrm{r}}=540.47\right) w_{i}$ (calc.): 66.66 \% C, 4.10 \% H, 5.18 \% N; $w_{i}$ (found): 66.78 \% C, 4.23 \% H, $5.34 \%$ N. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 7.22-7.42$ (m,
$11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}$ ), 6.93 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{2}^{\prime}$ ), 6.64 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14), 6.20\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 5.22$ (d, $J_{8,12}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ), 5.07 (d, 1H, H-8), 3.94 (s, 3H, COOCH 3 -2), 3.93 (s, 1H, H-7), 3.88 (s, 3H, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}-6\right), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, $\delta: 168.38$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 168.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 156.53 ( s , $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ ), 151.91 (s, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ ), 136.96, 136.41, 134.38, 133.98, 131.32, 131.20, 130.87, 130.63, 129.69, 128.13, 127.89, 127.20, 126.71, 126.54, 126.13, 124.26, 122.03 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {vinyy }}$ ), 92.41 (s, C-2), 82.50 (d, C-12), 75.23 (s, C-6), 53.81 (d, C-8), 53.50 (d, C-7), 53.08 ( $\mathrm{q}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 50.81 (d, C-1).
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