Phase Chemistry of the $YbCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O$ —18C6— C_2H_5OH System at 298.15 K and Synthesis and Properties of Complexes

^aQ.-D. LI*, ^bH.-K. LIU, ^cF. REN, ^bZ.-G. CHENG, and ^bD.-H. REN

^aDepartment of Petrochemical Engineering, Xi'an Petroleum Institute, Xi'an, 710065, P. R. China

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Northwest University, Xi'an, 710069, P. R. China

^c Pharmacy Department, First Military Medical University, GuangZhou, 510515, P. R. China

Received 27 March 2001

The solubility properties of the YbCl₃·3H₂O—18C6—C₂H₅OH system at 298.15 K in the whole concentration range have been investigated by the semimicrophase equilibrium method. The corresponding solubility diagram and refractive index diagram were constructed. The results indicated that there were three stoichiometric complexes formed in this system, namely: $3YbCl_3 \cdot 18C6 \cdot 9H_2O \cdot C_2H_5OH$, $2YbCl_3 \cdot 18C6 \cdot 6H_2O \cdot C_2H_5OH$, and $YbCl_3 \cdot 18C6 \cdot 3H_2O$. Both the former complexes are incongruently soluble in C_2H_5OH , while the third complex is congruently soluble in C_2H_5OH . The influence of lanthanide ions, anions, and solvent on formation of complexes has been examined and discussed. Based on phase equilibrium data, three kinds of complexes were prepared. Their composition and properties were investigated by chemical analysis, elemental analysis, IR spectra, DTG-TG and DSC.

Crown ethers and related macrocyclic and macropolycyclic molecules show a high complexation selectivity which makes them adequate ligands for the investigation of the coordinative properties of lanthanoid ions. The investigation on the interaction between lanthanoid salts and crown ethers in the whole concentration range by phase equilibrium method can provide the thermodynamic basis of the preparation of complexes, and avoid blindness in the synthesis preparation. As a part of a systematic investigation on the phase chemistry of the RECl₃ · 3H₂O—18C6— C₂H₅OH systems (RE = La, Pr, Gd) [1—3], this communication reports the studies on phase chemistry of the YbCl₃ · 3H₂O—18C6—C₂H₅OH system at 298.15 K and synthesis and properties of complexes.

EXPERIMENTAL

YbCl₃·3H₂O was prepared according to Ref. [4] and its composition and purity were determined by EDTA titration, and the Volhard method for the determination of chloride. Crown ether 18C6 was of anal. grade purity, and it was dried over phosphoric oxide in a desiccator to constant mass before use. C_2H_5OH and all other reagents used were of anal. grade purity.

Thermostat, the temperature fluctuation ± 0.05 K, was made by ourselves. WZS-1 type of Abbe refractometer, IR-440 model infrared spectrophotometer, PE-TGS-7 thermogravimetric analyzer, and DSC-2

differential scanning calorimeter were used. The thermometer, weights and all measuring vessels used were calibrated.

Yb³⁺ was determined complexometrically with EDTA, C₂H₅OH and H₂O were determined by the volatilization mass loss method. Mass losses of some known samples are given in Table 1 indicating that analytical relative errors are less than 0.3 %. 18C6 was found from the difference subtraction method.

The semimicrophase equilibrium method used was designed by *Jiang et al.* [5]. The samples, which are used in the studied system, were exactly prepared and sealed in the polyvinyl tubes. These tubes were fixed on the turntable and turned until equilibrium.

We have investigated the title system YbCl₃ \cdot 3H₂O -18C6-C₂H₅OH at 298.15 K by the above-mentioned method. The results showed that the equilibrium of this system was reached after stirring in thermostat for 14 d.

Based on phase equilibrium data in this paper the points were selected in the 2-phase regions, corresponding to three complexes, then the samples were prepared, sealed in polyvinyl tubes, fixed in turntable and turned until equilibrium. In order to make the widest possible removal of mother solution at wet-residue, samples were separated by centrifuging, washed with ethanol and dried in different drying conditions until their mass became constant.

^{*}The author to whom the correspondence should be addressed.

Adding	g to mass/	mg	Mass loss/mg				
$YbCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O$	18C6	C_2H_5OH	over 70—80 % H_2SO_4	over 98 % $\rm H_2SO_4$	at 50 °C, 0.8 kPa and over P_2O_5		
119.50	0	1105.45	_	1106.00			
206.10	59.70	1571.90		1572.00	33.60 (33.49)*		
177.90	69.60	1460.60	1460.35	—	$28.95 (28.84)^*$		
106.15	82.50	848.40	848.40	17.40 (17.35)*			

Table 1. Mass Losses Data of Some Known Samples in Drying Conditions

*The data in parentheses are calculated values by water mass in samples.

Table 2. Solubility and Refractive Index Data of the System $YbCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O - 18C6 - C_2H_5OH$ at 298.15 K

$w_{\rm i}({\rm Complex})/\%$		$w_{\rm i}({\rm Solution})/\%$		$w_{\rm i}({ m Wet}$	$\mathrm{Residue})/\%$	Equilibrium	$w_{\rm i}({\rm A}) imes 100 \%$	$n_{\rm D}$	
А	С	А	С	А	С	sond phase	$w_{i}(A) + w_{i}(B)$	(25 °C)	
_	_	49.85	50.15	_	_	А	100.00	1.4285	
55.59	43.76	48.80	50.22		—	А	98.03	1.4269	
55.34	40.07	47.93	49.97	68.70	20.60	A+I	95.80	1.4320	
50.02	44.86	44.93	54.17	68.00	17.00	Ι	98.04	1.4145	
50.06	44.09	40.26	58.93	58.74	31.15	Ι	98.03	1.4139	
49.25	44.13	37.46	61.64	58.04	30.75	Ι	97.65	1.4039	
45.98	47.95	35.46	63.40	59.48	28.74	I	96.88	1.4085	
42.08	51.08	36.10	61.83	61.60	21.38	I + II	94.58	1.3943	
39.83	53.41	33.06	65.00	53.43	29.97	II	94.46	1.3932	
38.69	54.05	30.49	67.00	56.93	22.87	II	93.82	1.3893	
33.26	59.98	25.36	72.32	57.24	23.46	II	92.52	1.3800	
27.06	66.65	20.59	76.36	43.17	42.43	II	87.10	1.3780	
32.64	55.18	20.15	74.25	51.30	27.06	II + III	78.25	1.4068	
_	—	20.10	74.27	38.85	37.89	II + III	78.12	1.4068	
28.45	42.50	12.65	82.98	36.22	38.29	III	74.32	1.4015	
25.84	59.15	12.36	83.25	37.88	37.98	III	73.79	1.3950	
19.56	65.55	6.10	89.40	22.90	59.09	III	57.55	1.3800	
19.53	63.34	4.13	90.04	28.89	47.01	III	41.46	1.3805	
15.54	67.88	3.06	88.83	31.60	41.38	III	27.39	1.3852	
11.56	67.11	2.34	81.36	31.62	38.29	III	12.55	1.3883	
9.74	57.08	1.92	68.48	30.62	31.88	III	6.10	1.4023	
11.44	41.68	1.88	50.62	28.82	25.07	III	3.81	1.4108	
10.06	35.33	1.75	41.57	29.89	20.01	III	3.00	1.4168	
14.70	22.45	1.35	29.56	28.03	15.35	III	1.95	1.4275	
—		0.00	11.12		_	В	0.00	1.4480	

 $A = YbCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O, B = 18C6, C = C_2H_5OH.$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The solubility and refractive index data of the system $YbCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O$ —18C6— C_2H_5OH at 298.15 K are presented in Table 2.

The solubility diagram (a) and refractive index curve (b) of saturated solutions of the system YbCl₃ · $3H_2O$ —18C6—C₂H₅OH at 298.15 K are presented in Fig. 1. The curves are exactly correspondent. The solubility curve ABCDE of this system is made of four portions, corresponding to the solid phases: YbCl₃ · $3H_2O$, Complex $I - 3YbCl_3 \cdot 18C6 \cdot 9H_2O \cdot$ C₂H₅OH, Complex $II - 2YbCl_3 \cdot 18C6 \cdot 6H_2O \cdot C_2H_5$ -OH, and complex $III - YbCl_3 \cdot 18C6 \cdot 3H_2O$, respectively; B is eutonic point to YbCl₃ · $3H_2O$ with complex I; C complex I with complex II; D complex IIwith complex III. Points A and F denote the solubility of the YbCl₃ \cdot 3H₂O and 18C6, respectively, at 298.15 K. It is very difficult to determine the eutonic point of complex III with 18C6 owing to the viscosity of corresponding saturated solution being extremely large. But as can be seen from Fig. 1, the solubility curve corresponding to the solid phase 18C6 would be very short and the 2-phase region of 18C6 is quite small, this also ruled out the possibility of formation of other stoichiometric complex. This system is invariant at B, C, D points in the solubility diagram of the given system, there are no degrees of freedom, f =0, by the phase rule, therefore, these points are also invariant points; there are three stoichiometric complexes formed in this system. Their compositions are given in Table 3. The complex I and complex II are incongruently soluble in C_2H_5OH , while the complex III is congruently soluble in C_2H_5OH .



Fig. 1. Solubility diagram (a) and refractive index curve (b) of saturated solutions of the ternary system $YbCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O-18C6-C_2H_5OH$ at 298.15 K.

The behaviour of water in this system during equilibrium was examined and the results show that the mole ratio, $n(H_2O)/n(YbCl_3)$, is always 3 : 1, no matter whether it is in liquid or solid phase. This goes a step further to indicate that the above-mentioned results of phase equilibrium are reliable.



The influence of lanthanide ions on complex formation has been examined. Comparing the YbCl₃ \cdot 3H₂O —18C6—C₂H₅OH system at 298.15 K with the LnCl₃ \cdot 3H₂O—18C6—C₂H₅OH (Ln = La [1], Pr [2], Gd [3]) systems at 298.15 K (Table 4), it is found that the solubility diagrams of these systems are quite different. The difference of lanthanide ions of LnCl₃ \cdot 3H₂O does affect the kind and stoichiometric ratio, as well as the size of the phase regions of complexes formed in these systems, and many complexes formed in these systems are incongruently soluble in C₂H₅OH. This may be an important reason why the solid complexes of rare earth chloride with crown ether have rarely been reported.

Comparing the YbCl₃·3H₂O—18C6—C₂H₅OH system at 298.15 K with the Yb(ClO₄)₃·3H₂O [6]/Yb(NO₃)₃·3H₂O [7]—18C6—C₂H₅OH systems at 298.15 K (Fig. 2), it is found that there are three kinds of complexes formed in the studied system, and two kinds of complexes: Yb(ClO₄)₃·18C6·3H₂O·C₂H₅OH are formed in the Yb(ClO₄)₃·2(18C6)·3H₂O·C₂H₅OH are formed in the Yb(ClO₄)₃·3H₂O—18C6—C₂H₅OH system, while only one complex, namely: Yb(NO₃)₃·

Table 3. Chemical Composition and Mole Ratio of Complexes

Complex	$w_{\rm i}({\rm Complex~in~solubility~diagram})/\%$		Mole ratio of complex						Soluble		
	$YbCl_3\cdot 3H_2O$	18C6	C_2H_5OH	$n(YbCl_3)$:	n(18C6)	:	$n(\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O})$:	$n(C_2H_5OH)$	congruence
Ι	76.33	20.16	3.51	3	:	1	:	9	:	1	Incongruent
II	68.25	27.04	4.71	2	:	1	:	6	:	1	Incongruent
III	55.80	44.20		1	:	1	:	3			Congruent

$\mathsf{YbCl}_3 \cdot \mathsf{3H}_2\mathsf{O} \text{---} \mathsf{18C6} \text{---} \mathsf{C}_2\mathsf{H}_5\mathsf{OH} \text{ COMPLEXES}$

There are been been	Complexes formed in the ternary system					
Ternary system	Species	Composition	Soluble congruence			
$YbCl_3\cdot 3H_2O{}18C6{}C_2H_5OH$	3	$\begin{array}{c} 3YbCl_{3} \cdot 18C6 \cdot 9H_{2}O \cdot C_{2}H_{5}OH \\ 2YbCl_{3} \cdot 18C6 \cdot 6H_{2}O \cdot C_{2}H_{5}OH \end{array}$	Incongruent Incongruent			
$\mathrm{GdCl}_3\cdot 3\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}{-}18\mathrm{C6}{-}\mathrm{C}_2\mathrm{H}_5\mathrm{OH}$	2	YbCl ₃ · 18C6 · 3H ₂ O 3GdCl ₃ · 18C6 · 9H ₂ O · C ₂ H ₅ OH GdCl ₃ · 18C6 · 3H ₂ O	Congruent Incongruent Congruent			
$\mathrm{PrCl}_3\cdot 3\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}{}18\mathrm{C6}{}\mathrm{C}_2\mathrm{H}_5\mathrm{OH}$	3	$\begin{array}{c} 2PrCl_{3}\cdot 18C6\cdot 6H_{2}O\\ 4PrCl_{3}\cdot 3(18C6)\cdot 12H_{2}O\\ \end{array}$	Incongruent Congruent			
$LaCl_3\cdot 3H_2O {} 18C6 {} C_2H_5OH$	3	$\begin{array}{l} {\rm PrCl}_3\cdot 18{\rm C6} & \cdot 3{\rm H}_2{\rm O} \\ {\rm 3LaCl}_3\cdot 18{\rm C6} & \cdot 9{\rm H}_2{\rm O}\cdot {\rm C}_2{\rm H}_5{\rm O}{\rm H} \\ {\rm 2LaCl}_3\cdot 18{\rm C6} & \cdot 6{\rm H}_2{\rm O}\cdot {\rm C}_2{\rm H}_5{\rm O}{\rm H} \\ {\rm LaCl}_3\cdot 18{\rm C6} & \cdot 3{\rm H}_2{\rm O} \end{array}$	Congruent Incongruent Incongruent Congruent			

Table 4. Comparison of Main Results of the $RECl_3 \cdot 3H_2O - 18C6 - C_2H_5OH$ System at 298.15 K

 Table 5. Analytical Results of Compositions of Complexes

During condition		$w_{ m i}/\%^*$	Composition of obtained complexes	
Drying condition	YbCl ₃ 18C6 H ₂ O			
$\begin{array}{c} 98 \ \% \ H_2 SO_4 \\ 80 \ \% \ H_2 SO_4 \\ 70 \ \% \ H_2 SO_4 \\ 98 \ \% \ H_2 SO_4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66.28 & (66.24) \\ 59.86 & (60.00) \\ 46.77 & (46.74) \\ 59.00 & (58.89) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.80 \ (20.84) \\ 28.51 \ (28.39) \\ 41.18 \ (41.22) \\ 41.05 \ (41.11) \end{array}$	$9.01 (9.04) \\11.59 (11.61) \\9.05 (9.04) \\0$	$3 \text{YbCl}_3 \cdot 18 \text{C6} \cdot 9 \text{H}_2 \text{O} (IV)$ $2 \text{YbCl}_3 \cdot 18 \text{C6} \cdot 6 \text{H}_2 \text{O} (V)$ $\text{YbCl}_3 \cdot 18 \text{C6} \cdot 3 \text{H}_2 \text{O} (III)$ $\text{YbCl}_3 \cdot 18 \text{C6} (VI)$

*Calculated values in parentheses.

Table 6. Main IR Absorptions and Relative Ratio Values of their Absorbances for the Solid Complexes and 18C6

Compound	$t(\mathrm{CH}_2)$	$\tilde{\nu}(\nu_{s}(C-O-C))$	$\tilde{\nu}(\nu_{\rm as}({\rm C-\!\!-C}))$	$r(\mathrm{CH}_2)$	Relative ratio values of the absorbances
18C6	1278	990	1120	855	1:1.29:1.18:1.25
III	1300	957	1098	836	$1\!:\!0.65\!:\!0.88\!:\!0.86$
IV	1285	955	1095	815	$1\!:\!0.81\!:\!0.95\!:\!0.88$
V	1295	955	1096	824	$1\!:\!0.87\!:\!0.96\!:\!0.90$
VI	1303	960	1105	830	$1\!:\!0.82\!:\!0.93\!:\!0.76$

 $18C6 \cdot 3H_2O \cdot C_2H_5OH$, which is congruently soluble in C_2H_5OH , is formed in the Yb(NO₃)₃ · 3H₂O— $18C6-C_2H_5OH$ system.

It is a matter of common observation that nitrate ions behave as bidentate ligands with strong coordination ability, while chloride and perchlorate ions behave as unidentate or free ligands with weak coordination ability. The above-mentioned differences of phase behaviour for three compared ternary systems are owing to the differences of anions of $LnX_3 \cdot 3H_2O$.

The analytical results of compositions of the solid complexes obtained at different drying conditions are in Table 5.

Main IR absorptions and relative ratio values of their absorbances for the solid complexes and 18C6 are reported in Table 6.

As can be seen, after formation of the corresponding complexes, obvious shifts of $7-40 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in the vibrational bands due to the 18C6 and changes of relative ratio of their absorbance were obversed compared to those for the free crown 18C6. These results indicate that the crown oxygen atoms are coordinated to the Yb³⁺ ion. Broad bands appearing at 3360—3380 cm¹ and vibrational bands at 1621—1631 cm⁻¹ provide an evidence for the existence of water molecules in these complexes. For the solid complex YbCl₃ · 18C6 · 3H₂O, provided all the six crown oxygen atoms, one chlorine atom "above" the crown, and two water molecules "below" are coordinated to the Yb³⁺ ion, which is a reasonable assumption in view of the published crystal structure of lanthanide complexes with 18C6 [8], the coordination number of the Yb³⁺ ion in this complex is 9.

The thermal decomposition mechanism of the complexes III—V may be determined according to TG curve as follows. Comparison of the found numerical values (mass loss/%) with the calculated ones was done in the following equations.

42.51(42.39)

where the temperatures are corresponding to one of DTG peaks at each step of thermal decomposition. According to the DSC of the solid complexes, the enthalpies of some steps during the decompositions, which were given in the above-mentioned equations, were obtained for three solid complexes.

In order to examine the decomposition mechanism mentioned above, we have identified the intermediates during the thermal decomposition of complexes by IR spectra. The $\tilde{\nu}(\nu_{\rm s}(\rm C-O-\rm C))$ at 952–958 cm⁻¹ and $\tilde{\nu}(\nu_{\rm as}(\rm C-O-\rm C))$ at 1095–1103 cm⁻¹ in IR spectra of the intermediates provide an evidence for the existence of coordination of the 18C6 molecules to the Yb³⁺ ion in these intermediates. As can be seen from the equations, our suggestion of decomposition mechanism of complexes confirms the reality.

REFERENCES

- Li, Q.-D., Xue, H.-F., and Ren, D.-H., Gaodeng Xuexiao Huaxue Xuebao 16, 1172 (1995).
- Xue, G.-L., Ren, D.-H., Hu, S.-M. et al., Gaodeng Xuexiao Huaxue Xuebao 16, 1504 (1995).
- 3. Liu, H.-K., Li, Q.-D., Ren, F. et al., Rare Earth (China), in press.
- 4. Su, M.-Z. and Li, P.-G., *Chemistry* (Chinese Chemical Society) 4, 34 (1979).
- Jiang, H.-Y., Ren, D.-H., Xue, H.-F. et al., Acta Chim. Sin. 41, 610 (1983).
- Li, Q.-D., Liu, X., Chang, Z.-G., and Ren, D.-H., WuJi Huaxue Xuebao 16, 351 (2000).
- Xue, H.-F., Ren, D.-H., and Ye, M., Chinese J. Northwest Univ. 17, 105 (1987).
- Rogers, R.-D. and Kurihara, L.-K., *Inorg. Chem.* 26, 1498 (1987).